

Court File No.:

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

BETWEEN:

Plaintiff

- and -

Defendant

PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF LAW

WITNESSES

ORDER OF WITNESSES

PART I – OVERVIEW

1. Generally, counsel maintains control over calling evidence, subject to certain rules.
There is no absolute rule that the plaintiff must be called as the first witness.
2. It is not necessary to prove each fact underlying an expert's opinion; where there is some admissible evidence on which to base the opinion, it is a matter of the weight to be given to the opinion. An expert opinion may be based on hearsay, but second-hand evidence is not admissible as evidence going to show the existence of facts on which the opinion is based. It is only admissible to show the information on which

© 2017, Barbara Legate, Legate & Associates and Taran Virtual Associates Inc.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, except that the purchaser of this publication (who, for the purposes of copyright only, shall be deemed to be the individual(s) named on the invoice and/or the CD(s)) shall be entitled to copy, modify and use this publication solely for his or her own legal practice. This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information for use as a precedent by lawyers. This publication is not intended to render legal advice. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

* As of the February, 2017 release date, this Statement of Law has been updated by TVA

the opinion is based.

3. The trial judge may exclude a witness from the courtroom until called to give evidence.

**** END OF SAMPLE ****

The remainder of this statement of law contains written submission on this issue and is written like the law portion of a factum.